HOMEWORK #12 For Friday, April 15

- \* 1. Assuming  $\theta(x)$  and  $\eta$  are any formulas and x is not free in  $\eta$ , prove  $\exists x \ \theta(x) \to \eta$  from  $\forall x \ (\theta(x) \to \eta)$ .
- \* 2. Suppose  $\varphi$  and  $\psi(x)$  are any formulas, and x is not free in  $\varphi$ . Prove

$$(\varphi \to \exists x \ \psi(x)) \leftrightarrow \exists x \ (\varphi \to \psi(x))$$

using the following steps:

- a. First prove the  $\leftarrow$  direction.
- b. From  $\exists x \ \psi(x)$ , prove  $\exists x \ (\varphi \to \psi(x))$ .
- c. From  $\neg \exists x \ \psi(x)$  and  $\varphi \rightarrow \exists x \ \psi(x)$ , conclude  $\exists x \ (\varphi \rightarrow \psi(x))$ . (Hint: from the hypotheses, show that  $\varphi$  implies *anything*.)
- d. Put parts (b) and (c) together with a proof of  $\exists x \ \psi(x) \lor \neg \exists x \ \psi(x)$  (you don't have to write out the latter) to obtain a proof the  $\rightarrow$  direction.

Note that this  $\rightarrow$  direction of this problem, together with problem 11, are used in the proof of van Dalen's Lemma 3.1.7.

- ★ 3. Let  $L_1$ ,  $L_2$ , and  $L_3$  be languages, with  $L_1 \supseteq L_2 \supseteq L_3$ . (In other words,  $L_1$  has all the constant, function, and relation symbols of  $L_2$ , and possibly more; and similarly for  $L_2$  and  $L_3$ .) Suppose  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$ , and  $T_3$  are theories in the languages  $L_1$ ,  $L_2$ , and  $L_3$  respectively. Show that if  $T_1$  is a conservative extension of  $T_2$ , and  $T_2$  is a conservative extension of  $T_3$ , then  $T_1$  is a conservative extension of  $T_3$ . (You can find the definition of "conservative extension" on page 104 in van Dalen, Definition 3.1.5.)
  - 4. Consider the following two statements of the completeness theorem:

Version A: If  $\Gamma$  is any consistent set of sentences, then  $\Gamma$  has a model.

Version B: If  $\Gamma$  is any set of sentences,  $\varphi$  is any sentence, and  $\Gamma \models \varphi$ , then  $\Gamma \vdash \varphi$ .

Show *directly* that these two statements are equivalent, i.e. that each one implies the other. (Hint: to show that B implies A, take  $\varphi$  to be  $\perp$ .)